

**Swift & Staley Team/DOE Paducah Site  
Classification Office (CO)/Technical Information Office (TIO)  
and Operations Security (OPSEC) Release Form**

To Be Completed by Person Requesting Release  
(Required to be completed prior to submittal to CO/TIO/OPSEC)

Date 2/4/09 Date Release is Required \_\_\_\_\_  
Person Requesting Release Candy Morris Phone Number 5160  
Mailing Address \_\_\_\_\_  
Organization SST  
Document Number USEC-100, Rev. 0 Number of Pages 22  
Accession Number (DMC only) A-00005-1340  
Document Title/Date Joint Policy Statement on Shared Site Issues  
Author J.C. Hodges Corporate Author \_\_\_\_\_  
Media (Check all that apply)  
☒ Paper ☐ Photo ☐ Diskette ☐ Drawing ☐ Video ☐ CD ☐ Report/Letter ☐ Other \_\_\_\_\_  
Project Subcontract/Task Order \_\_\_\_\_  
Requestor/Purpose of Release Public Release - DOE

**DO NOT WRITE BELOW THIS LINE - CO/TIO/DC/OPSEC USE ONLY**

Classified ☐ Yes ☒ No  
ECI ☐ Yes ☒ No

UCNI ☐ Yes ☒ No  
OUO ☐ Yes ☒ No

**Type of Release (Check One)**

☒ Public Release ☐ Internal Release Only ☐ Limited Release

**Reason (Check all that apply)**

☐ Circumvention of Statute ☐ Statutory Exemption ☐ Commercial/Proprietary  
☐ Personal Privacy ☐ Privileged Information ☐ OPSEC

Other/Comments \_\_\_\_\_

DC MBreneman Date FEB 05 09

TIO MBreneman Date FEB 05 09

Reviewing Official\* \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

OPSEC MBreneman Date FEB 05 09

\*Signature required for documents containing information in UCNI subject area.



## Department of Energy

Oak Ridge Operations  
Paducah Site Office  
P.O. Box 1410  
Paducah, KY 42001

December 16, 1996

Distribution

Dear Associates:

### Joint Policy Statement on Shared Site Issues

The attached document, USEC-100, Rev. 0, "Joint Policy Statement on USEC and DOE Direction and Management Expectations for Shared Site Issues," addresses current issues that require formal mechanisms for interface and control. This document conveys joint management expectations and directives from the Department of Energy (DOE) and the United States Enrichment Corporation (USEC) as outlined in the January 25, 1996 letter from G. P. Rifakes to Dr. Carl Paperiello of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission which enclosed the agreement from G. P. Rifakes and J. W. Parks, "USEC and DOE Resolution of Shared Site Issues at the Gaseous Diffusion Plants."

This joint policy statement applies to all USEC personnel, USEC prime contractors and their subcontractors, DOE personnel, DOE prime contractors and their subcontractors, and any DOE or USEC third-party tenants at the Paducah Site. It is expected that new or revised procedures will flow down from this policy statement.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, reading "Jimmie C. Hodges".

Jimmie C. Hodges  
DOE Site Manager

A handwritten signature in cursive script, reading "Steve A. Polston".

Steve A. Polston  
USEC General Manager

JCH:SP:RRVeazey:ba

Attachment

A-00005-1340



Distribution  
Page 2  
December 16, 1996

Distribution

DOE

C. R. Alvarado  
G. A. Bazzell  
J. R. Castaneda  
A. M. Corona  
D. W. Dollins  
G. A. Hettler  
M. E. Redfield  
W. D. Tidwell

JACOBS

D. J. Wilkes

LMES

J. C. Massey

LMUS

J. Morris Brown  
M. A. Buckner  
J. R. Dew  
C. V. Hicks  
T. M. Hines  
L. L. Jackson  
F. J. Kocsis  
P. D. Lassiter  
D. C. Mason  
S. R. Penrod  
H. Pulley  
S. L. Shell  
W. E. Sykes  
J. H. Thomas  
S. A. Williams

SMS

J. J. Hill  
G. D. Puckett  
W. F. Redfield  
R. W. Seifert

USEC

J. A. Labarroke  
C. W. Martin

VORTEC

T. E. Herron  
N. W. Jetta

c: A. M. Bosch  
D. L. Chumbler  
J. M. Collins  
O. W. Cypret  
S. L. Elkins  
R. L. Foster  
P. A. Gourieux  
D. R. Guminski

C. T. Hall  
D. A. Hill  
D. F. Hutcheson, Jr.  
T. B. Hudson  
S. M. Leone  
B. E. Lindsey  
D. M. Massey  
S. E. Meiners

L. D. Payne  
L. D. Rogers  
D. A. Schneider  
S. F. Seltzer  
R. E. Scott  
J. D. Sohl  
W. Stokes  
S. E. Tolar

R. R. Veazey  
D. S. Wattier  
R. L. Webb  
R. M. Wilkerson  
P. D. Wright  
S. S. Zimmerman  
PGDP LMES DMC-RC



# **PADUCAH GASEOUS DIFFUSION PLANT**

## **JOINT POLICY STATEMENT ON USEC AND DOE DIRECTIVES AND MANAGEMENT EXPECTATIONS FOR SHARED SITE ISSUES**

J. C. Hodges, DOE Site Manager  
S. A. Polston, USEC General Manager

December 1996

LOCKHEED MARTIN UTILITY SERVICES, INC.  
UNDER CONTRACT WITH THE  
UNITED STATES ENRICHMENT CORPORATION

### **DISCLAIMER**

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed therein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

DATE OF ISSUE: December 1996

DOCUMENT NUMBER: USEC-100  
Rev. 0

JOINT POLICY STATEMENT ON USEC AND DOE DIRECTIVES AND  
MANAGEMENT EXPECTATIONS FOR  
SHARED SITE ISSUES

J. C. Hodges, DOE Site Manager  
S. A. Polston, USEC General Manager

Prepared by  
LOCKHEED MARTIN UTILITY SERVICES, INC.  
Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant  
P. O. Box 1410  
Paducah, Kentucky 42002-1410  
for the  
UNITED STATES ENRICHMENT CORPORATION  
Under Contract No. USEC-96-C-0001

## CONTENTS

|  | <u>Page</u> |
|--|-------------|
| <b>1.0 PURPOSE</b> .....   | 1           |
| <b>2.0 APPLICABILITY</b> .....                                       | 1           |
| <b>3.0 REFERENCES</b> .....  | 1           |
| <b>4.0 INTRODUCTION</b> .....  | 1           |
| <b>5.0 RESPONSIBILITIES</b> .....                                    | 2           |
| <b>6.0 ACTIONS</b> .....   | 2           |
| <b>6.1 SHARED SYSTEMS AND CONTINUITY OF ESSENTIAL SERVICES</b> ..... | 2           |
| <b>6.1.1 SSIA Agreement</b> .....                                    | 2           |
| <b>6.1.2 PGDP Policy/Directives</b> .....                            | 3           |
| <b>6.2 CONTROL OF WORK ACTIVITIES</b> .....                          | 3           |
| <b>6.2.1 SSIA Agreement</b> .....                                    | 3           |
| <b>6.2.2 PGDP Policy/Directives</b> .....                            | 4           |
| <b>6.3 PLANT CHANGES</b> .....                                       | 4           |
| <b>6.3.1 SSIA Agreement</b> .....                                    | 4           |
| <b>6.3.2 PGDP Policy/Directives</b> .....                            | 4           |
| <b>6.4 EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT COORDINATION</b> .....                   | 5           |
| <b>6.4.1 SSIA Agreement</b> .....                                    | 5           |
| <b>6.4.2 PGDP Policy/Directives</b> .....                            | 5           |
| <b>6.5 THIRD PARTY ACTIVITIES ON GDP SITES</b> .....                 | 6           |
| <b>6.5.1 SSIA Agreement</b> .....                                    | 6           |
| <b>6.5.2 PGDP Policy/Directives</b> .....                            | 6           |

## CONTENTS (Continued)

|  | <u>Page</u> |
|--|-------------|
| <b>6.6 PHYSICAL PROTECTION COORDINATION</b> .....  | 6           |
| 6.6.1 SSIA Agreement .....   | 6           |
| 6.6.2 Policy/Directives .....  | 6           |
| <b>6.7 EVENT NOTIFICATION</b> .....  | 7           |
| 6.7.1 SSIA Agreement .....   | 7           |
| 6.7.2 PGDP Policy/Directives .....   | 7           |
| <b>6.8 HELIPAD</b> .....   | 7           |
| 6.8.1 PGDP Policy/Directives .....   | 7           |
| <b>6.9 COMMUNICATION OF INCIDENT INFORMATION AND<br/>MEDIA COORDINATION</b> .....            | 7           |
| 6.9.1 SSIA Agreement .....   | 7           |
| 6.9.2 PGDP Policy/Directives .....   | 7           |
| <b>6.10 RADIATION PROTECTION</b> .....   | 8           |
| 6.10.1 SSIA Agreement .....  | 8           |
| 6.10.2 PGDP Policy/Directives .....  | 8           |
| <b>6.11 PROCEDURE ISSUANCE</b> .....   | 8           |
| 6.11.1 Transition Element .....  | 8           |
| 6.11.2 Policy/Directives .....   | 9           |
| <b>6.12 PERSONNEL ACCOUNTABILITY</b> .....   | 9           |
| 6.12.1 Transition Element .....  | 9           |
| 6.12.2 PGDP Policy/Directives .....  | 9           |
| <b>6.13 OPERATIONAL SAFETY REQUIREMENT/TECHNICAL SAFETY<br/>REQUIREMENT TRANSITION</b> ..... | 9           |
| 6.13.1 Transition Element .....  | 9           |
| 6.13.2 PGDP Policy/Directives .....  | 10          |
| <b>7.0 UNRESOLVED ADMINISTRATIVE CONTROL ISSUES</b> .....                                    | 10          |



## **LIST OF ACRONYMS**

**CAAS** — Criticality Accident Alarm System  
**DOE** — United States Department of Energy  
**JCO** — Justification for Continued Operation  
**LMES** — Lockheed Martin Energy Systems  
**LMUS** — Lockheed Martin Utility Services  
**NRC** — United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
**OSR** — Operational Safety Requirement  
**PORC** — Plant Operations Review Committee  
**PSS** — Plant Shift Superintendent  
**SER** — Safety Evaluation Report  
**SSIA** — Shared Site Issue Agreement  
**TR** — Technical Requirement  
**TSR** — Technical Safety Requirement  
**USEC** — United States Enrichment Corporation  
**USQ** — Unreviewed Safety Question  
**USQD** — Unreviewed Safety Question Determination

**Blank Page**

## **1.0 PURPOSE**

This joint USEC and DOE administrative policy statement addresses shared site issues at the Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant and the surrounding DOE-retained reservation as delineated in the January 25, 1996 letter from G. P. Rifakes to Dr. Carl Paperiello of NRC which enclosed the agreement from G. P. Rifakes and J. W. Parks, "USEC and DOE Resolution of Shared Site Issues at the Gaseous Diffusion Plants." This document also addresses other issues that require formal mechanisms for interface and control. This document conveys joint management expectations and directives from DOE and USEC.

## **2.0 APPLICABILITY**

This joint policy statement applies to all USEC personnel, USEC prime contractors and their subcontractors, and USEC third-party tenants (which may be referred to in this document as USEC and/or its agents); DOE personnel, DOE prime contractors and their subcontractors, and DOE third-party tenants (which may be referred to in this document as DOE and/or its agents). Since DOE has more than one prime contractor, policy statements or actions assigned may address all DOE prime contractors or may address actions to only one prime. USEC has one prime contractor, LMUS.

## **3.0 REFERENCES**

Letter from G. P. Rifakes to Dr. Carl Paperiello, Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant, Portsmouth Gaseous Diffusion Plant, Docket Nos. 70-7001 & 70-7002, Resolution of USEC/DOE Shared Site Issues, January 25, 1996

Application for United States NRC Certification — Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant Safety Analysis Report, Revision 4

Memo from J. W. Parks to G. P. Rifakes, Exhibit A, Lease Agreement Between DOE and USEC, November 8, 1994

## **4.0 INTRODUCTION**

USEC and DOE have determined jointly that a need exists to formally establish a policy that coordinates, integrates, and communicates site activities. Procedures shall flow down from this policy statement. All personnel must ensure:

- USEC and DOE activities do not adversely affect the operations of the other party in terms of health and safety, environmental protection, safeguards and security, and nuclear regulatory compliance.

- Situations with the potential to affect both USEC and DOE operations and personnel, such as emergencies and threats directed toward site activities, are managed in a coordinated manner that protects the safety and health of DOE and USEC personnel, including their respective contractors, subcontractors and the public.

This document is co-sponsored by the DOE site manager and the USEC general manager.

This document shall define for each issue the appropriate policy for interface and integration between USEC and DOE or it shall direct respective organizations to implement by procedure issue or revisions action steps for interface and integration.

## **5.0 RESPONSIBILITIES**

Each manager and/or supervisor for DOE and USEC and their respective contractors, subcontractors, and third-party tenants is responsible to ensure their personnel are trained on this policy statement, training records are maintained, and training is updated to support later revisions.

The DOE site manager and USEC general manager are responsible for revising this policy statement as issues emerge that are deemed significant and appropriate as shared site issues.

## **6.0 ACTIONS**

For each shared site issue, the DOE and USEC agreements per the SSIA document are summarized below. The policy statement and/or directive to implement the agreement is then stated. Issues beginning with and subsequent to Section 7.11, Procedure Issuance, are elements not specifically discussed in the SSIA.

### **6.1 SHARED SYSTEMS AND CONTINUITY OF ESSENTIAL SERVICES (Section 1 of the SSIA)**

#### **6.1.1 SSIA Agreement**

USEC provides certain services and utilities to DOE necessary for DOE activities/operations. USEC and DOE activities/operations are protected or supported by shared systems important to both their activities/operations.

USEC and DOE will work together to ensure interruptions to services are minimized and shared systems remain operable. USEC and DOE will work together to establish a process for controlling the scheduling of interruptions to essential services.

### 6.1.2 PGDP Policy/Directives

**Lease vs. Nonleased Facilities Identification.** To ensure site personnel are aware of the status of leased and nonleased areas/facilities and future revisions are communicated, the building lease status drawings shall be issued as controlled documents. A formal mechanism shall be established as follows:

- either DOE, LMES, LMUS, or USEC propose changes
- input to commence the revision process is approval memo from G. Rifakes and J. Parks approving the proposed changes
- DOE/LMES will ensure the drawings are revised accordingly and obtain approval signatures from USEC and DOE site managers(or delegates)
- DOE/LMES shall provide a duplicate of the approved drawing to USEC/LMUS
- LMES shall issue through their design change procedure to all DOE personnel and/or its agents
- LMUS shall issue through their design change procedure to all USEC personnel and/or its agents

**Shared Systems Identification.** LMES and LMUS shall define those systems that are “shared” (i.e., both organizations are serviced by the same system(s) since the system(s) are essentially plantwide covering both leased and nonleased areas (i.e., fire detection and protection, CAAS system, lighting, etc.). Each organization shall proceduralize the list. LMES shall ensure the list incorporates any systems inputs made by the other DOE prime contractors.

Identification of the leased vs. nonleased facilities and shared systems is necessary in order to define the ownership definition of site areas and to identify those areas, facilities, processes, and systems that are shared.

**Interface.** USEC and/or its agents shall ensure DOE and/or its agents are aware of LMUS activities in planning or in process. Similarly, DOE and/or its agents shall ensure USEC and/or its agents are aware of DOE and/or its agent’s activities in planning or process. This coordination will be accomplished each week through the exchange of planned work activities for that week. Any changes, additions, or deletions during the week will be communicated through meetings or teleconferences.

Both LMUS and LMES shall appoint coordinators responsible for this timely and accurate communication. LMES shall ensure other DOE prime contractors and DOE third-party tenants schedules are incorporated also.

## 6.2 CONTROL OF WORK ACTIVITIES (Section 2 of the SSIA)

### 6.2.1 SSIA Agreement

USEC and DOE agree activities in leased spaces must be conducted according to USEC commitments to the NRC. Accordingly, DOE (including their prime contractors and respective subcontractors), will obtain USEC’s approval before conducting work in leased spaces.

USEC (including their prime contractor/subcontractors) will also obtain DOE’s approval before conducting work in nonleased spaces.

### **6.2.2 PGDP Policy/Directives**

See Section 6.3.

## **6.3 PLANT CHANGES (Section 3 of the SSIA)**

### **6.3.1 SSIA Agreement**

DOE and USEC agree to establish procedural controls to govern the notification and approval process.

USEC will provide DOE with a copy of each approved Safety Analysis issued according to 10 CFR 76.68 with respect to any changes to the leased premises or operation of the leased premises. DOE will provide USEC with a copy of each USQD or Safety Analysis prepared with respect to any changes to the DOE retained facilities, systems, or operations. DOE and USEC will provide each other with a copy of each USQ and pertinent information, including any JCO or similar document prepared in connection with the USQ.

In the event either party has a concern about the potential impact of any plant changes by the other party on the safety of its own operations and activities, the appropriate USEC and DOE representatives shall jointly review the change and take appropriate actions to resolve the concern (including any required plant modifications) in a prompt manner.

### **6.3.2 PGDP Policy/Directives**

The following policy shall apply to PGDP. LMUS and DOE's prime contractors are directed to issue procedures to capture and require compliance with these policies.

USEC and/or its agents and DOE and/or its agents shall notify each other so that each is aware of the other's activity purpose, scope, description, location, any possible accident scenarios and resultant emergency responses, and possible impacts on DOE and USEC operations.

1. Activities conducted by USEC/LMUS within facilities leased to USEC and activities conducted by USEC/LMUS within the perimeter fence, excluding areas retained by DOE and access and egress thereto, shall be conducted per USEC requirements.
2. LMUS shall obtain DOE's approval before conducting work within areas retained by DOE, unless the activity is in response to an emergency situation (security event, fire, radiological, etc.). LMES will serve as DOE's representative for DOE and/or its agents.
3. Activities conducted by DOE and/or its agents within areas retained by DOE or within facilities or areas leased to USEC shall be conducted per the following rules.
  - DOE and/or its agents will obtain USEC/LMUS's approval before conducting DOE work in leased facilities or areas.

The work will be performed to USEC/LMUS requirements.

- DOE will obtain USEC/LMUS's approval before conducting DOE work in DOE retained areas if the area is located in a leased facility and if access/egress corridors through the leased facility are required to move DOE material or equipment through these corridors.

The DOE work will be performed to DOE requirements.

- DOE will notify USEC/LMUS of DOE work in DOE retained facilities or areas, DOE retained areas within leased facilities, and in common areas.

The DOE work will be performed to DOE requirements.

4. DOE and/or its agents shall obtain LMUS' approval or provide notification to LMUS under 6.3.2.3. LMES and LMUS will appoint single point contacts to facilitate communication. LMUS will advise LMES, through this process, if activity should be presented to PORC or the PSS. LMES will serve as representative for DOE and/or its agents.
5. DOE and/or its agents and USEC and/or its agents shall exchange safety analyses, safety evaluations, and USQDs per the SSIA agreement. If approval is required under 6.3.2.2 or 6.3.2.3, these shall be provided prior to start of the activity.
6. Each work activity shall have a Shared Site Activity Screening form, Appendix A, prepared per the guidelines of Appendix A.

Resolution of concerns, disagreements, or conflicts between DOE/LMES and USEC/LMUS or between their contractors/subcontractors will be resolved through the normal working process. If resolution cannot be reached, the appropriate level of management for each party shall meet jointly with the respective parties to effect resolution. In those rare cases where resolution still is not effected, the concerned employees and their management shall meet jointly with the Site Council for resolution.

## **6.4 EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT COORDINATION (Section 4 of the SSIA)**

### **6.4.1 SSIA Agreement**

USEC has the lead role in responding to a declared emergency with DOE serving as an on-site member of the Emergency Operations Center. In coordination with the USEC management team, DOE will take appropriate actions to control activities in the reservation area surrounding the site, including evacuation of personnel from these areas.

In accordance with Exhibit F of the lease, USEC will provide emergency response training to DOE personnel, DOE contractors, subcontractors, and personnel of DOE's third-party tenants. DOE will assure these personnel attend both the initial training and periodic update training.

### **6.4.2 PGDP Policy/Directives**

LMUS and LMES shall issue/revise the appropriate procedures to address the agreements stated in the first paragraph under 6.4.1. At DOE and/or its agent's request, LMUS will provide training to DOE and/or its agent's personnel.

## **6.5 THIRD PARTY ACTIVITIES ON GDP SITES (Section 5 of the SSIA)**

### **6.5.1 SSIA Agreement**

DOE and USEC agree to promptly provide each other with pertinent information concerning any operations or activities being conducted on their respective portions of the site and the surrounding DOE-owned reservation, by or on behalf of third parties.

DOE and USEC will promptly provide each other with a written description of each third-party lease agreement including:

- Detailed description of the activity including purpose, scope, description, location, manpower, schedule, and safety analysis
- Any hazardous materials to be used or stored in connection with the lease, and
- Any transportation or other access requirements on the leased premises, particularly with respect to transportation or storage of hazardous materials or equipment
- Any operations or activities that could pose a hazard to the other party's operations or activities or act as an initiating event. Include description of any equipment to be brought on-site in conjunction with the lease activity.
- In the event either party has a concern about the potential impact third-party activities could have on the safe operation of the site, the appropriate USEC and DOE representatives shall jointly review the issue and take appropriate action to resolve the concern in a prompt and cost-effective manner.

Such descriptions shall be updated promptly to reflect changes in third-party activities.

### **6.5.2 PGDP Policy/Directives**

Both LMES and LMUS shall issue/revise the appropriate procedures to address this agreement. LMES shall ensure USEC is provided the required information for each DOE third-party tenant.

## **6.6 PHYSICAL PROTECTION COORDINATION (Section 6 of the SSIA)**

### **6.6.1 SSIA Agreement**

DOE and USEC have agreed USEC will continue to maintain the physical security plan, defining roles and responsibilities for the security organization, and USEC's security force has responsibility for initial response to a threat and to determine the appropriate course of action.

### **6.6.2 Policy/Directives**

No further actions are required for this issue.



## **6.7 EVENT NOTIFICATION (Section 7 of the SSIA)**

### **6.7.1 SSIA Agreement**

USEC, normally through the PSS, will promptly notify DOE of any reportable events required by 10 CFR 76 or other applicable NRC regulations.

DOE will similarly notify the PSS of any reportable events, as categorized per DOE's occurrence reporting process, for which DOE is responsible.

### **6.7.2 PGDP Policy/Directives**

Both LMES and LMUS shall issue/revise the appropriate procedures to address these agreements.

## **6.8 HELIPAD (Section 8 of the SSIA)**

### **6.8.1 PGDP Policy/Directives**

DOE and USEC have agreed the Helipad at PGDP does not exist. Therefore, no actions are required for this issue.

## **6.9 COMMUNICATION OF INCIDENT INFORMATION AND MEDIA COORDINATION (Section 9 of the SSIA)**

### **6.9.1 SSIA Agreement**

For information releases to the media during and subsequent to an event, USEC has the lead role in providing news releases to the media relating to USEC activities whereas DOE has the lead role in providing information releases relating to DOE events. Each organization will refer the media to the appropriate organization having the lead role unless a need exists for DOE to provide information in its role as landlord.

DOE and USEC will promptly provide each other with information copies of news releases of events.

### **6.9.2 PGDP Policy/Directives**

LMUS and LMES shall issue/revise the appropriate procedures to address these agreements.

## **6.10 RADIATION PROTECTION (Section 10 of the SSIA)**

### **6.10.1 SSIA Agreement**

Radiation protection (exposure monitoring) is the responsibility of the employer (USEC and DOE) for their respective personnel, contractors, subcontractors, and third-party tenants and is independent of the activities upon which they are working. In accordance with Appendix F of the lease, USEC will provide radiation protection training to DOE personnel, contractors, subcontractors, and personnel of third-party tenants of DOE. Radiation exposure information for individuals who work on both DOE and USEC activities will be shared in order to satisfy exposure reporting requirements. DOE is responsible for ensuring DOE personnel, DOE contractors, subcontractors, and third-party tenants of DOE attend the required initial and periodic training updates.

### **6.10.2 PGDP Policy/Directives**

LMUS is responsible for implementing procedures to address those responsibilities for USEC listed above plus:

- Providing notification to DOE and DOE's contractors, subcontractors, and third-party tenants of periodic training and updates required to maintain training current and
- Defining the differences in posting, classification, etc. that exist between the respective DOE/USEC programs and assuring these differences are detailed in the respective training programs.

At DOE and/or its agent's request, LMUS will provide training to DOE and/or its agent's personnel. LMES is responsible for implementing procedures to address those responsibilities listed above for DOE plus:

- Defining the differences in posting, classification, etc. that exist between the respective DOE/USEC programs.

If personnel of either DOE and/or its agents or USEC and/or its agents discovers an area or activity (whether temporary or permanent) that should be posted and is not, the personnel will post immediately and notify the PSS who will make the appropriate notifications.

## **6.11 PROCEDURE ISSUANCE**

### **6.11.1 Transition Element**

Procedures are being issued or revised by site organizations without a coordinated review for concurrence by other site organizations which are/were impacted by the procedure's new contents.

### **6.11.2 Policy/Directives**

Procedures being issued or revised by either DOE's or USEC's respective prime contractors/subcontractors or their respective third-party tenants shall be coordinated with the other affected site organization for review and concurrence before issue. The time allotted by the issuing organization for review shall be adequate and with advance notification. In cases requiring extremely short processing time, the issuing organization will make notification to the other party of the need for expeditious issue. The reviewing organization will either work in conjunction with the preparing organization during the preparation stage or waive its review rights depending on the subject of the procedure and scope of change (i.e., revision could in no manner impact the reviewing organization).

Procedures being revised or issued to implement the Shared Site Resolution shall be so denoted in the procedure.

This directive shall be proceduralized by DOE's and USEC's prime contractors. All procedures are included until DOE and USEC agree mutually in writing on a specific procedure list for cross-review and concurrence. LMUS and LMES shall establish coordinators who will be the main interface points between the two organizations. LMES shall serve as coordinator for DOE and/or its agents. LMUS shall serve as coordinator for USEC and/or its agents.

## **6.12 PERSONNEL ACCOUNTABILITY**

### **6.12.1 Transition Element**

The site must ensure all site personnel are accounted for on a daily basis and, especially, in the event of an emergency is declared.

### **6.12.2 PGDP Policy/Directives**

Both USEC and/or its agents and DOE and/or its agents shall ensure accountability for their respective employees, prime contractors and their subcontractors, and third-party tenants on a daily basis. No further documents are needed to implement this policy.

## **6.13 OPERATIONAL SAFETY REQUIREMENT/TECHNICAL SAFETY REQUIREMENT TRANSITION**

### **6.13.1 Transition Element**

USEC/LMUS is converting existing OSRs into TSRs to support the NRC certification process. The existing OSRs are superseded by TSRs once issued.

### **6.13.2 PGDP Policy/Directives**

The following interfaces and/or programs shall be proceduralized by both LMES and LMUS:

- LMUS shall provide the TSR (with attached TR), SER, and superceded OSR to LMES for review.
- LMES shall review and identify those TSRs with a potential for impacting DOE and/or its agent's activities and, for any identified, coordinate resolution with LMUS through the DOE site manager or his designee.
- LMES shall identify any OSRs needed to operate the DOE areas of responsibility during and after the transition to NRC oversight of USEC. LMES will coordinate those OSRs identified with LMUS for potential impact on USEC's areas of responsibility.
- LMUS and LMES shall ensure that revised TSRs are coordinated with each other.

Both LMES and LMUS shall issue/revise procedures to address these agreements. LMES shall also address procedurally the mechanisms that allow their TSRs or OSRs to be implemented and any coordination required with the PSS.

LMES shall develop a DOE/LMES specific systems list for safety-related, important to safety, and nonsafety systems, structures, and components that covers DOE's areas of responsibility. This list will be coordinated with LMUS for review. LMUS shall coordinate their USEC/LMUS specific systems list with LMES.

## **7.0 UNRESOLVED ADMINISTRATIVE CONTROL ISSUES**

The issues listed in this section shall be addressed by future revisions to this document upon their resolution.

Safety Analysis Report Ownership and Maintenance  
 Configuration Management Ownership and Maintenance  
 DOE-Owned Privacy Records Storage, Control, and Access  
 International Atomic Energy Agency Safeguards Agreement  
 Unclassified Controlled Nuclear Information  
 Common Area Definition, Ownership, and Interface Requirements  
 DOE Stored Nuclear Material in Process Buildings  
 Quality Assurance Plan Interface Requirements  
 Problem Reporting System Interface Requirements  
 Commitment Tracking/Corrective Action Interface Requirements  
 Dual Standards Exception Requests  
 Scheduling of Joint Activities for Emergency Management  
 USQD Program Endorsement and Requirements  
 NRC Liaison Requirements  
 Records Retention and Shared Reports  
 Document Control Interface Requirements

## SHARED SITE ACTIVITY SCREENING INSTRUCTIONS

The form on the next page shall be completed for each work activity to be performed by either USEC and/or its agents or DOE and/or its agents unless the appropriate level of management with responsibility for the work activity decides a Shared Site Activity Screening is not required due to the insignificance of the task.

The screening form is mandatory for any activity:

- that will interface with or pass through a DOE/USEC boundary
- that impacts a important to safety or safety-related system
- that requires a safety evaluation
- that requires a decision as to regulatory oversight authority (i.e., NRC vs. DOE)

The preparer (#14) shall be the individual within the respective organization that owns the work activity (e.g., if LMES is contracting LMUS to perform a DOE owned activity, the cognizant LMES personnel shall complete this form even though LMUS personnel may complete the remainder of the work activity's forms). The manager (#15) is the appropriate level of management within the preparer's organization. These levels should be defined within each organization.

Approval/Notification (#16) shall be used to document approval or acknowledgment of notification by the other shared site organization (i.e, LMUS owns the work in a DOE retained area and LMES will sign #16 for approval of the work activity in the DOE area).

This form is intended to facilitate communication and concurrence, when needed, under the umbrella of the Shared Site concept. It is not intended nor is it to replace procedures and evaluations/analyses which are normal to the site's procedural flow of work.

This form shall be part of the documentation package assembled for the work activity and shall be filed accordingly.

### SHARED SITE ACTIVITY SCREENING

|   |                    |
|---|--------------------|
| 1. Work Package ID (if any):  | Brief Description: |
| 2. Location of Work:  |                    |
| 3. System ID:   |                    |
| 4. Is this area leased or non-leased: <input type="checkbox"/> L <input type="checkbox"/> NL<br>5. Is this system: <input type="checkbox"/> SHARED <input type="checkbox"/> USEC's <input type="checkbox"/> DOE's<br>6. Is the work owned by: <input type="checkbox"/> USEC <input type="checkbox"/> DOE  |                    |
| 7. If work is owned by USEC:<br>A. If work is non leased, has DOE approved <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/> NA<br>B. Does DOE have copy of: <input type="checkbox"/> SA <input type="checkbox"/> USQD <input type="checkbox"/> USQ <input type="checkbox"/> NA<br>C. Who will perform regulatory oversight: <input type="checkbox"/> NRC <input type="checkbox"/> DOE<br>D. Is work to be performed in a SWMU?<br>E. If yes, has contact with DOE/LMES for EPA notification been made? <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO  |                    |
| 8. If work is owned by DOE:<br>A. If work area is in leased facility, has USEC approved: <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO<br>B. If work area is DOE retained within a leased facility, and<br>access/egress corridors are required, has USEC approved <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO<br>and have access/egress routes been defined/agreed to: <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO<br>C. If work area is DOE retained, has USEC been notified: <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO<br>D. Was approval/notification by/with: <input type="checkbox"/> PORC <input type="checkbox"/> PSS<br>E. Who will perform regulatory oversight: <input type="checkbox"/> NRC <input type="checkbox"/> DOE<br>F. Does USEC have copy of: <input type="checkbox"/> SA <input type="checkbox"/> USQD <input type="checkbox"/> USQ <input type="checkbox"/> NA |                    |
| 9. Are hazardous materials/equipment: <input type="checkbox"/> USED <input type="checkbox"/> STORED <input type="checkbox"/> TRANSPORTED <input type="checkbox"/> NA<br>10. Could activity pose hazards to the site: <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO<br>11. Could activity act as initiating event for and accident: <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO<br>12. Have emergency response plans been defined (if needed): <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/> NA<br>13. Have compensatory measures been defined (if needed): <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/> NA   |                    |
| 14. Preparer:   | 16. Manager:       |
| 15. Approval/Notification   |                    |

**DISTRIBUTION**

Library - RC (2)  
LMES DMC